

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/058,543	Applicant(s) AL-KOFAHI ET AL.	
	Examiner John B. Strege	Art Unit 2625	

All Participants:

(1) John B. Strege.

(2) Jacques Etkowicz.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
 24,26-28

 Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____


(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BHAVESH M. MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TEC CY CENTER 2600
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated the interview to discuss the 112 and 103 problems related to the new claims 26-28 as well as in the amended claim 24. The Applicant's attorney agreed to cancel claims 26-28 and amend claim 24 to delete the problematic parts so that the case can be allowed. .